## Assignment 1

1. Create a file, type a leave letter to your boss and take a print out of the same.
2. While working on the letter, insert the address line.
3. Click 'Save As' option in 'File' menu and save the same file under a different name.
4. Close all the files. Open the file with the new name. Edit the contents and save it.
5. You can try to edit the page in various ways. Try all the options given in the menu and see what happens.

## Leave letter

From,
Bimba. K.R.
Senior Lecturer, DIET, Shimoga.

To,
The Principal, DIET, Shimoga.

Dear Sir/Madam,
Sub: Sanctioning of three days' leave.
With reference to the above subject, as I am suffering from cold and fever, I cannot attend for my work for three days. Please sanction me leave for three days. Hope you oblige.

Thanking You,
Date :9.10.08

> Yours Sincerely,

Bimba
Place: Shimoga.

1. Given below is the average annual cost per student by type of institutions from 1950-51 to 1994-95. Analyse the table below with the help of suitabte diagramatic representation.

Average annual cost per student by type of institutions
Exercise1;

| Year | primary | middle | H/hs/inter |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1950-51$ | 19 | 31 | 73 |
| $1955-56$ | 23 | 40 | 80 |
| $1960-61$ | 27 | 40 | 91 |
| $1965-66$ | 35 | 50 | 111 |
| $1970-71$ | 57 | 85 | 169 |
| $1975-76$ | 95 | 144 | 257 |
| $1980-81$ | 164 | 200 | 427 |
| $1985-86$ | 262 | 344 | 654 |
| $1990-91$ | 411 | 548 | 949 |



2 .Number of selected educational institutions by management is given below: Analyse the relative position of these institutions by management type in terms of diagramatic presentation

## Exercises2

| Type of <br> Institution | Govt | loc,bod | pri.aided | pri.unaided | total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Hsc | 10514 | 527 | 11354 | 3471 | 25873 |
| Hs | 28527 | 6230 | 24912 | 14670 | 74339 |
| Ms | 83676 | 52528 | 18479 | 25610 | 180293 |
| Primary | 288435 | 264872 | 20153 | 30186 | 603646 |
| Pre.pri | 13012 | 18446 | 2949 | 3399 | 37806 |
|  | 424164 | 342603 | 77847 | 77336 | 921957 |


3. Gross enrolment ratio in classes I-VIII for some of the states are given below. Analyse the interstate variation of GER by means of suitable diagram.

| States | Boys | Girls | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhrapradesh | 84 | 79 | 82 |
| Bihar | 76 | 46 | 61 |
| Gujrat | 112 | 93 | 103 |
| Harayana | 73 | 72 | 73 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 98 | 85 | 91 |
| Karnataka | 101 | 94 | 98 |
| Kerala | 92 | 91 | 91 |
| Maharashtra | 104 | 98 | 101 |
| Orrisa | 105 | 75 | 90 |



Marks of 40 students in a class are given below for Language and Mathematics. This is presented in terms of frequency distribution. Analyse the results of students by means of descriptive statistics

|  | Number <br> of <br> students |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Language | Mathematics |
| $0-10$ | 0 | 2 |
| $.10-20$ | 1 | 3 |
| $20-30$ | 3 | 4 |
| $30-40$ | 15 | 5 |
| $40-50$ | 10 | 10 |
| $50-60$ | 5 | 6 |
| $60-70$ | 4 | 7 |
| $70-80$ | 2 | 5 |



Average
43.75
median
5. In a baseline assessment of 40 students of class V in mathematics the scores achieved are the following: 90, 40, 30, 5, 25, 55, 45, 25, 15, 20, 35, 30, $30,45,75,30,20,15,30,30,50,55,60,5,85,10,35,20,55,30,25,45,35,80$, $40,20,15,10,05,45$. After a three year intervention of a project the marks of the 40 students of class $V$ were compiled to see if the project's intervention was successful. The marks were obtained as follows :85, 40, 30, 35, 25, 55, 45, 25, $85,20,35,30,30,45,75,30,20,45,30,30,50,55,60,55,85,100,35,20,55$, $30,25,45,35,80,40,20,15,25,30,45$. Comment on the success or failure of project by finding the mean achievement of students. Also comment on the performance of students by finding the measure of dispersion.

## Exercise5\&6
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| 20 | 20 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 15 |
| 10 | 25 |
| 5 | 30 |
| 45 | 45 |

## 7. Expenditure on Education in five year plans is given below

Source: $10^{\text {th }}$ five year plan (2002-07), Volume ii, Planning Commission of India, Government of India.

Analyze the above pattern of expenditure at different levels of education during five year plans by means of suitable statistical tools.

## 7. Expenditure on Education in five year plans is given below

## Exercise 7

Expenditure on education in the five year plans is given below:

| Five year plans | Elementary (\%) | Secondary (\%) | Higher (\%) | total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I | $85(56)$ | $20(13)$ | $14(9)$ | 15300 |
| II | $95(35)$ | $51(19)$ | $48(18)$ | 27300 |
| III | $201(34)$ | $103(18)$ | $87(15)$ | 58900 |
| IV | $239(30)$ | $140(18)$ | $195(25)$ | 78600 |
| V | $317(35)$ | $156(17)$ | $205(22)$ | 91200 |
| VII | $803(30)$ | $736(25)$ | $530(18)$ | 204300 |
| VIII | $2849(34)$ | $1829(22)$ | $1201(14)$ | 85000 |
| IX | $4006(47)$ | $1538(18)$ | $1055(12)$ | 852190 |

Source: $10^{\text {th }}$ five year plan (2002-07), Volume ii, Planning Commission of India, Government of India.
Note: Figures in parentheses are the percentages



Quality Assessment of Primary Education In Karnataka Schools
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## INTRODUCTION

$\checkmark$ NPE 1986 spoke of imparting education of comparable quality in addition to access and retention

Assessment of quality aimed at;

- Systemic reforms
- Improvement of teaching-learning process.


## NEED

- After the non retention policy adopted, there was $100 \%$ promotion in primary classes

Chances of students being promoted without minimum evaluation

## KSQAO

- Karnataka School Quality

Assessment Organisation
In 2003,quality assessed by Ajim Premji Foundation.
From 2004-05 introduced to all schools in Karnataka.
Evaluation at class v and class viil based on competencies.

## OBJECTIVES

-To assess the learning outcomes of students in selected competencies in different subjects.
To enable the parents to visualize the needs for assessing quality.
To make available reports of assessments to educational administers , policy planners and common man, schools, clusters,etc.

## CRITERIA FOR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Enroll, retain, qualify on performance.

Assessment included

1. admission to schools
2. attendance of children
3. attainment of competencies
4. \% of those qualified for promotion

## PROCESS

- Conducting oral and written tests to std V and VII

Tests In Part-A and Part-B
Question papers in series, supplied by KSEEB
External teachers and D. Ed deputed for the exam.

## KSQAO RESULTS OF STANDERD

 SEVENTH OF SHIMOGA DISTT.
$\geqslant$ Results show that district level results are slightly more than state level results.

## Result Statistics



## STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE EDUCATION : ACADEMIC

- Improving teaching faculty by training, make use of TLMs
Enable teachers to make continuous evaluation
Provide teacher orientation of competency based teaching
, Special focus on core subjects.
, To motivate people to work in socially and economically backward areas


## CAPACITY BUILDING

- To acquaint all CAEOs, BRPs, CRPs with Academic and administrative perceptive
To equip community with techniques and methodologies of school management.
To provide orientation to elected representatives.


